



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,546	01/24/2002	Sankar Basu	YOR920020018	8796

48813 7590 07/02/2008
LAW OFFICE OF IDO TUCHMAN (YOR)
ECM #72212
PO Box 4668
New York, NY 10163-4668

EXAMINER

DEBROW, JAMES J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

07/02/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pair@tuchmanlaw.com
idotuchman@gmail.com



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

JUL 01 2008

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

LAW OFFICE OF IDO TUCHMAN (YOR)
ECM #72212
P.O. BOX 4668
NEW YORK, NY 10163-4668

In re Application of: BASU, et al.)
Application No.: 10/056,546)
Filed: January 24, 2002) **DECISION ON PETITION UNDER 37**
Attorney Docket No.: YOR920020018) **CFR §1.181**
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR)
ACTIVE ANNOTATION OF)
MULTIMEDIA CONTENT)

This is a decision on the petition filed February 2, 2008 under 37 CFR § 1.181. No fee is required.


A review of the file record indicates that a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114 was filed in the instant application on May 1, 2008 along with an amendment and arguments. In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.114 (d), which states in part: *If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered.* The RCE request was processed by the Technology support staff on May 1, 2008. The accounting receipt date for the fee for filing of the RCE is May 2, 2008 (\$810.00).

Accordingly, in view of the above stated reason, the instant petition, filed under 37 CFR §1.181 to withdraw the finality of the January 2, 2008, is rendered moot.

Accordingly, the petition under 37 CFR § 1.181 is **DISMISSED as MOOT.**

Petitioner's attention is directed to 37 CFR § 1.26 regarding refund of fees paid, which states in part: *"The Director may refund any fee paid by mistake or in excess of that required."* Since the processing fee for filing of the RCE is not a fee paid by mistake or in excess of that required, accordingly, the request to refund of the filing fee for filing the RCE is **DENIED.**

The application is being forwarded to the Examiner for action on the merits. Telephone inquiries should be directed to Brian Johnson at 571-272-3595.


Jack Harvey

Director
Technology Center 2100
Computer Architecture, Software, and Information Security